



2004 QUARTERLY REPORT

QUESTERRE ENERGY CORPORATION

Third Quarter
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

President's Message

The successful completion of our corporate restructuring during the third quarter marked a new beginning in Questerre's development.

Triggered by the results of the A-5 re-entry, the corporate restructuring was essential to our future development. I am pleased to report that the Plans of arrangement we proposed to effect this restructuring were approved by over 95% of our unsecured creditors. With Court approval received on September 9, 2004, the Plans were implemented through the issuance of 9.6 million common shares of Questerre and \$0.56 million in cash.

Questerre emerged from the restructuring process with a recapitalized balance sheet and its two high impact natural gas projects still untested. We were also successful in preserving a capital structure that allows us to finance the future development of these projects. Despite the recent improvement in our market capitalization, to reestablish our credibility and revalidate the potential of our projects will require a dedicated and consistent effort on our part.

To revalidate the potential of the Beaver River Field we have been reprocessing and reinterpreting the 3-D seismic survey over the last two quarters. A new seismic processing company and geophysicist were engaged to administer this extensive and important project. Our new geophysicist, Wayne Hauck, has over 25 years' experience as an exploration geophysicist with a focus on Foothills and other structurally complex plays. Most recently he was employed by Murphy Oil Company and was the lead geophysicist on the Ladyfern discovery in British Columbia.

The second stage of the reprocessing, the pre-stack time migration, was completed at the end of third quarter. The new velocity model developed through this process has dramatically reduced the noise associated with the data and resulted in more clearly defined imaging of the Nahanni reservoir. The results of this reprocessing have been extremely encouraging.

Based on the new interpretation, we believe the Nahanni has more potentially undrilled compartments than originally expected which could translate into a higher recovery factor for the Field. The reprocessing has also identified a new compartment that could contain attic gas, or gas above the highest producing well in the Field, less than 500 meters away from the existing A-5 well. A new well into this compartment could test both the new compartment and attic gas hypothesis.

An unexpected benefit of this reprocessing has been better imaging of the horizons above the Nahanni at a depth of 1600m – 2800 m TVD. By correlating bright spots now seen on the seismic interpretation with log results and gas shows recorded during drilling, we believe several additional gas bearing sandstone zones could exist above the Nahanni. Our analysis of these zones has indicated these could be tight gas zones, or non-conventional reservoir, where proper completion techniques are vital. We continue to evaluate these new targets that could hold potential reserves of between 1 – 3 Bcf per well.

Based on the results of this evaluation and further interpretation of the seismic data, we anticipate picking a new well location and/or re-entry candidate at the Beaver River Field this winter.

Concurrent with the reinterpretation of the seismic data at the Beaver River Field, we also completed a review of the seismic data over the Becancour prospect in the St. Lawrence Lowlands. To verify our drilling location for the Sainte Sophie #1 well, key 2-D lines over the prospect were reprocessed and reinterpreted. Utilizing waveform analysis that was responsible for the discovery at Ladyfern, our geophysicist has identified an anomaly on the newly processed seismic data that is indicative of porosity in the target horizon. We plan to reprocess additional lines to further confirm the existence of this porosity anomaly. In the interim, we have utilized this information to further define our bottom hole drilling location for this well.

The Sainte Sophie #1 well has been delayed numerous times due primarily to financing. Gastem Inc., the operator, has recently advised us that this well will commence once it finalizes selection of an appropriate drilling rig and all requisite support services. The operator, in conjunction with its partners is currently reviewing three alternative rigs for this well. The timing of this decision will depend in part on Gastem closing a private placement to fund its share of the drilling costs of this well. To this end, we have granted Gastem and Hydro-Quebec an extension until March 31, 2005 to spud this well.

While success in the St. Lawrence Lowlands or at the Beaver River Field could well determine the future of our company, we have begun to evaluate additional projects that could also add significant shareholder value. These projects are based in Central and west Central Alberta and cover 8 – 10 sections of land per project with plays defined by a 3-D seismic survey. To mitigate our financial exposure, we propose working with industry partners and intend to keep our working interest in each project below 50%. We are currently pursuing three such projects and plan to close on all of them imminently.

Our spending commitments for these projects over the next six months are anticipated be between \$2.5 – \$3 million. To meet these commitments we have entered into discussions with several institutional investors regarding a possible flow-through equity placement at a premium to current market prices. Subject to the timing and progress of farmout discussions on the Beaver River Field, we anticipate completing an additional equity financing to fund our share of a new well.



Michael Binnion
President and Chief Executive Officer



Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis was prepared by management at November 11, 2004 and offers management's assessment of Questerre's historical financial and operating results. This interim discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of the Company for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, and the MD&A and audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 together with the notes related thereto. The reader should be aware that historical results are not necessarily indicative of future performance. This discussion may contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Such information, though considered reasonable by Questerre at the time of preparation, may prove to be incorrect and actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in the statements made and the variances may be significant.

When calculating unit costs, natural gas is converted to a barrel of oil equivalent using a conversion ratio of six thousand cubic feet equal to one barrel (6:1 ratio).

CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING

Questerre Beaver River Inc. ("QBR"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Questerre Energy Corporation ("QEC") encountered a financial crisis in April 2004 as a result of significant problems associated with the drilling of a re-entry well (the "A-5 well") at the Beaver River Field in British Columbia (the "Field"). The drilling operation commenced in August 2003 and was completed in March 2004 with the tie-in of the well. The objective of the re-entry was to access "attic gas" which, based upon extensive seismic work, QBR believed to exist above the highest producing well in the Field. The A-5 re-entry well did not encounter any attic gas and is currently producing from a previously drained section of an existing compartment at 700 mcf/day of raw gas with 700 cubic metres per day of formation water.

The unsuccessful results of the A-5 well were compounded by significant operational delays and cost overruns. Questerre engaged a reputable drilling consultant to plan and manage the drilling of this well. The cost estimate prepared by this consultant was \$5.3 million based on a multi-well program and \$6.5 million based on a single well program. The total project costs, including completion, testing and tie-in, were over \$19 million, or almost three times the original cost estimate. Despite raising a total of \$11.5 million in cash net of financing and administrative costs, over \$9 million remained unpaid to unsecured trade creditors. Accordingly, on April 1, 2004, QBR applied for and was granted an order by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta providing for creditor protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("CCAA").

Subsequent to QBR filing for protection under CCAA, several parties who provided goods and services in respect of the A-5 well filed statements of claim against QEC with respect to those services. Several other parties indicated that they intended to advance similar claims, either jointly against QEC or as an alternative to settlement from QBR. Although QEC disputed liability for most of the claims advanced by parties who worked on the A-5 well, and in some cases both QEC and QBR disputed the amounts claimed, QEC believed that the cost of defending the actions could exceed its available cash resources. As well, the existence of claims and the possibility of further potential claims against QEC seriously restricted QEC's ability to raise capital in the public markets.

On June 22, 2004, QEC sought and was granted protection under the CCAA and was added as a petitioner in QBR's CCAA proceedings.

On August 9, 2004, the Company filed Plans of Compromise or Arrangement for QEC and QBR (the "Plans" or "Plans of Arrangement") under the CCAA for the settlement of all outstanding claims. Pursuant to the Plans proposed by QEC and QBR, unsecured creditors would receive either the lesser of the amount of their claim or \$2,000. Alternatively, unsecured creditors could elect instead to receive a cash dividend of \$0.05 plus one Common Share of QEC for each dollar of their claim. The Common Shares of QEC would be subject to a contractual escrow and released in two equal installments on the four and eight month anniversary of the date the Plans received final Court approval.

In addition to the proposed settlement, QEC arranged for a liquidity option for interested creditors. Under this liquidity option, unsecured creditors would still receive \$0.05 for each dollar of their claim, and would also receive an additional \$0.07 for each dollar of their claim in exchange for foregoing each Common Share of QEC they would have otherwise received. This would result in a cash settlement of \$0.12 per dollar of claim outstanding.

The Common Shares that would otherwise have been issued to these unsecured creditors would be issued to Terrenex Acquisition Corporation ("Terrenex") pursuant to the terms of a Liquidity Option Agreement. Under the Liquidity Option Agreement, Terrenex would establish a fund to finance the liquidity option up to a maximum of \$668,500, representing the maximum number of Common Shares of QEC to be issued under the Plans of Arrangement multiplied by \$0.07. In consideration for providing this liquidity option, Terrenex would receive 300,000 Common Shares of QEC. It will also be issued Common Shares of QEC that, but for the election of the unsecured creditors to participate in the liquidity option, would have been issued to the creditors of QBR and QEC. These Common Shares that would be issued to Terrenex, are subject to the same hold obligations were they issued to the creditors of QBR and QEC.

The Plans were approved by the requisite majority of unsecured creditors at meetings of creditors of QBR and QEC held on August 31, 2004. The Plans were subsequently sanctioned by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta on September 9, 2004. A total of \$0.56 million in cash and 9,623,012 Common Shares of QEC were issued on the implementation of these Plans. QEC and QBR subsequently emerged from Court protection on October 8, 2004.

THIRD QUARTER 2004 FINANCIAL RESULTS

PRODUCTION

Production from the Company's Beaver River Field averaged 546 mcf/day for the three months ended September 30, 2004 (2003 – 392 mcf/day) and 685 mcf/day for the first nine months of 2004 (2003 – 807 mcf/day). Production from the Field during 2004 can be attributed solely to the new A-5 well brought on production at the beginning of the second quarter. Field production in the first half of 2003 included two producing wells, both of which were shut-in during the third quarter of 2003 due to water disposal constraints and to facilitate drilling the new A-5 well. Consistent with the first nine months of 2003, the Company's production from minor Alberta properties in the nine and three months ended September 30, 2004 was approximately 25 BOE/day in natural gas and associated natural gas liquids.

REVENUE

Due to higher production during the quarter, Questerre reported petroleum and natural gas revenue of \$0.29 million in the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$0.12 million for the same period in 2003. This accounted for year-to-date revenues in 2004 increasing to \$1.3 million from \$1.1 million in 2003.

ROYALTIES

Royalty expense decreased to \$0.18 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2004 compared to \$0.27 million for the same period in 2003 as no Crown royalties were recorded for production from the Beaver River Field for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The royalty rate on production from the Company's minor Alberta properties remained at 22% for this period in 2004 and 2003.

Crown royalties for the Field are governed by a royalty agreement which provides for the deferral of all royalties until the earlier of June 30, 2004 and cumulative production of 13 bcf from the project. Repayment of deferred royalties was contingent on both the success of the experimental project for which the deferral was granted and on monthly production exceeding volumes established by the Crown. In December 2003, Questerre reported a royalty recovery which included the reversal of 2003 and prior years' royalty expense recognized under the royalty agreement. Based on the limited production and disappointing results to date, the Company adjusted the liability for Crown royalties to Nil at December 31, 2003 on the basis that the conditions for repayment no longer existed. On the same basis, the Company did not accrue Crown royalties on its Beaver River production in the first and second quarters of 2004.

The Company has accrued Crown royalties of \$0.07 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 on production from the Beaver River Field. Payment of this royalty is contingent on the Company reaching an agreement with the Ministry of Energy and Mines in British Columbia regarding its qualification for a deep royalty credit of \$2.2 million. The Company continues to have ongoing discussions with the Ministry regarding its qualification for this credit.

5

OPERATING COSTS

Total operating expenses increased to \$0.35 million for the third quarter of the year (2003 – \$0.24 million) and \$1.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 (2003 – \$0.98 million). A portion of the increase is attributed to higher equipment and facility maintenance costs. The remainder is attributed to the Company bearing responsibility for 100% of the operating costs associated with the Field, as compared to 60% for the same period in 2003.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Questerre reported general and administrative ("G&A") expenses of \$0.19 million in the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$0.24 million for the third quarter of 2003. G&A expenses of \$0.4 million for the first nine months of 2004 (2003 – \$0.58 million) included \$150,000 of bad debt expense recorded against amounts receivable from partners on the Beaver River Field. Overhead recoveries of \$0.54 million associated with the increased capital expenditure program in the first six months of 2004 offset a substantial portion of 2004 G&A expenses.

	Nine Months Ended September 30	
(\$ thousands)	2004	2003
General & administrative expenses	\$ 804	\$ 760
Bad debt expense	150	–
Overhead recoveries	(542)	(182)
General & administrative expenses, net	\$ 412	\$ 578

OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES

During the third quarter of 2004, Questerre recorded restructuring costs of \$0.29 million representing professional services associated with the Company's filings and restructuring under the CCAA.

Questerre recorded a gain of \$7.36 million in the third quarter of 2004 representing the net forgiveness of outstanding amounts owing by the Company to its unsecured trade creditors settled under the Plans of Arrangement.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2004 the Company adopted the new accounting standard for stock based compensation resulting in stock based compensation expense of \$0.02 million in the third quarter of 2004 and \$0.11 for the nine months ending September 30, 2004. The new accounting standard was applied retroactively without restatement of prior periods, therefore no corresponding expense was recorded in 2003.

INTEREST EXPENSE

In June 2004, Questerre borrowed \$0.5 million from Rupert's Crossing, an investment corporation ("Rupert's"), a private investment holding company controlled by the Company's President. In August 2004, Questerre borrowed an additional \$0.1 million from Rupert's. Interest expense of \$0.02 million for the third quarter of 2004 (2003 – \$0.01 million) reflects interest on this new borrowing as well as interest on the term bank loan. On a year to date basis, interest expense also increased from \$0.04 million for the first nine months of 2003 to \$0.05 million for the first nine months of 2004.

DEPLETION, DEPRECIATION AND ACCRETION

Questerre recognized \$0.06 million in depletion and depreciation for the third quarter of 2004 (2003 – \$0.18 million) and \$6.2 million in depletion and depreciation for the first nine months of 2004 (2003 – \$0.53 million). Included in 2004 depletion is a \$5.18 million "ceiling test" write-down recorded by the Company on its Beaver River Field petroleum and natural gas assets. The write-down reflected the majority of costs incurred during the first and second quarter of 2004 to finish the drilling, completion and tie-in of the new A-5 well. The write-down amount was calculated in accordance with the new accounting guideline utilizing an internal estimate of reserves and cash flows. Management's reserve estimates were based on the results from the production test of the new A-5 well. As of September 30, 2004, all costs associated with the Beaver River Field, net of estimated salvage values, have been written down and included in depletion except \$2.8 million of geological and geophysical costs.

Questerre excludes \$1.8 million of costs associated with its St. Lawrence Lowlands, Quebec prospect in the calculation of depletion or in costs subject to the ceiling test calculation. The Quebec property remains undeveloped at September 30, 2004.

In the second quarter of 2004, the Company increased its estimated undiscounted asset retirement cost for its Beaver River field from \$0.9 million to \$1.8 million. The \$0.9 million increase in estimate was added to the asset retirement obligation and also capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related asset. The full estimated asset retirement obligation related to the Beaver River Field has been estimated as a current year liability. Accretion of asset retirement obligations for the first nine months of 2004 was \$0.06 million compared to \$0.04 million for the same period in 2003. Questerre did not acquire any new properties in 2003 or 2004 which would result in additional asset retirement obligations.

TAXES

Consistent with the prior year, Questerre had sufficient tax pool deductions to offset taxable income in the first nine months of 2004 resulting in no current income taxes payable for the previous quarters. In addition, the Company's tax assets significantly exceed tax liabilities. No tax asset is recognized at September 30, 2004, as there is no certainty that the Company will be able to realize the value of the tax assets in the future.

NET INCOME

Questerre recorded net income of \$0.27 million (\$0.03 /share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared to a net loss of \$1.1 million (\$0.04/share) for the first nine months of 2003. The net income for the first nine months of 2004 was due to the gain on the settlement of all outstanding obligations under the Plans, offset by higher depletion including a \$5.18 million ceiling test write-down on the Beaver River Field. The write-down reflected the majority of costs incurred during the first quarter of 2004 to finish the drilling, completion and tie-in of the new A-5 well.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Questerre incurred capital expenditures of \$6.3 million in the first nine months of 2004 compared to \$4.3 million in 2003. Substantially all of the 2004 capital spending related to drilling, completion and tie-in of the Beaver River A-5 well, an operation that commenced in August 2003.

(\$ thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2004	2003
Capital Expenditures		
Beaver River Project, BC	6,257	3,459
St. Lawrence Lowlands, Quebec	64	815
Minor Alberta Properties	1	68
Total	6,322	4,342

WORKING CAPITAL

Questerre reported a working capital surplus of \$0.23 million at September 30, 2004, which includes outstanding bank debt of \$0.25 million and a \$0.6 million promissory note payable on November 14, 2004. The Company is not in compliance with the bank's financial covenants and, therefore, the bank loan has been classified as a current liability. The Company has extended the terms of the promissory note to November 14, 2006.

Questerre's current assets at September 30, 2004 include accounts receivable of \$0.1 million compared to \$0.6 million at December 31, 2003. GST refunds received in the first quarter of 2004 accounted for the decrease in accounts receivable. Inventory decreased from \$0.68 million at December 31, 2003 to \$0.19 million at September 30, 2004. The December 31, 2003 balance included \$0.5 million in drilling mud, all of which was utilized or returned for credit in the first half of 2004. Deposits and cash calls of \$0.89 million at September 30, 2004 includes \$0.68 million paid in trust to the operator for the drilling of the first well on the Company's Quebec property. In addition, \$0.1 million is recorded as deposits for retainers paid to counsel and the court monitor for services required in the Company's CCAA proceedings.

Current liabilities as at September 30, 2004 of \$1.4 million include \$0.57 million in accrued and contingent payables, a promissory note of \$0.6 million and \$0.25 million of bank debt. Questerre's trade payables and contingent liabilities of \$0.57 million primarily relate to professional fees incurred in connection with the Company's CCAA proceedings. The current liabilities as at December 31, 2003 of \$7.5 million primarily reflect the expenditures incurred in connection with the A-5 re-entry.

SHARE CAPITAL

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Class A common voting shares. The Company is also authorized to issue an unlimited number of Class B common voting shares and an unlimited number of preferred shares, issuable in one or more series.

On February 13, 2004, the Company completed a private placement for 642,860 common shares and 1,878,791 flow-through common shares for gross proceeds of \$3.16 million. Proceeds from the flow-through offering were primarily used to finance the completion and tie-in of the A-5 well at the Beaver River Field.

On September 21, 2004, the Company issued a total of 9,623,012 common shares on the implementation of the Plans of Arrangement under the CCAA.

At September 30, 2004, there were no Class B common voting shares or preferred shares outstanding, a total of 54,288,904 common shares outstanding, 5,338,330 common share purchase warrants outstanding and 2,315,000 stock options outstanding.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations, which require payments to be made over the next four years.

	Payments due by period				
	Remainder of 2004	2005	2006	2007	Total
Bank debt	\$ 20,100	\$ 80,400	\$ 80,400	\$ 73,700	\$ 254,600
Office rental agreement	\$ 31,500	-	-	-	

Questerre is party to an Office Rental Agreement with a related party for the provision of offices, office equipment and support personnel. The agreement may be terminated by either party with six months written notice.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Management is required to make judgments, assumptions and estimates in the application of generally accepted accounting principles that have a significant impact on the financial results of the Company. A comprehensive discussion of the Company's significant accounting policies is contained in the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2003 and the notes to the unaudited interim financial statements at September 30, 2004. The following discussion outlines the accounting estimates that are critical to determining Questerre's financial results.

GOING CONCERN

Questerre's financial statements have been prepared using Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and, accordingly, have been prepared using the same principles as those for a going concern.

FULL COST ACCOUNTING

Questerre follows the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants' ("CICA") guideline on full cost accounting to account for its oil and natural gas properties. Under this method, all costs associated with the acquisition of, exploration for and development of natural gas and crude oil reserves are capitalized and costs associated with production are expensed. The capitalized costs are depreciated, depleted and amortized using the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved reserves. Reserve estimates can have a significant impact on earnings, as they are a key component in the calculation of depreciation, depletion and amortization ("DD&A"). A downward revision in a reserve estimate could result in a higher DD&A charge to earnings. In addition, if net capitalized costs are determined to be in excess of the calculated ceiling, which is based largely on reserve estimates, the excess must be written off as an expense charged against earnings.

Certain costs related to unproved properties and major development projects may be excluded from costs subject to depletion until proved reserves have been determined or their value is impaired. These properties are reviewed quarterly to determine if proved reserves should be assigned or if impairment has occurred. If reserves can be assigned, the cost of the properties would be included in the depletion calculation. If impairment has occurred, any write-down would be included in depletion and depreciation expense for the period.

OIL AND GAS RESERVES

Questerre's proved oil and gas reserves are evaluated and reported on by an independent petroleum engineering consultant. The estimation of reserves is a subjective process. Forecasts are based on engineering data, projected future rates of production, estimated commodity price forecasts and the timing of future expenditures, all of which are subject to a number of uncertainties and various interpretations. These estimates are the basis for the determination of the fair market value and the estimated net revenue stream of these reserves. The Company expects that its estimate of reserves will change to reflect updated information. Reserve estimates can be revised upward or downward based on the results of future drilling, testing, production levels and economics of recovery based on cash flow forecasts. Reserve estimates can have a significant impact on net earnings, as they are a key component in the calculation of depletion and depreciation. A revision to the reserve estimate could result in a higher or lower DD&A charge to net earnings. Downward revisions to reserve estimates could also result in a write-down of oil and natural gas property, plant and equipment under the ceiling test.

ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

The Company recognizes asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be determined. The liability is recorded at fair value and is adjusted to its present value in subsequent periods and the amount of the accretion is charged to earnings in the period. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related asset. The capitalized amount is depleted on a unit of production basis in accordance with the Company's depletion policies.

Revisions to the estimated timing of cash flows or to the original estimated undiscounted cost also result in an increase or decrease to the asset retirement obligation. In the second quarter of 2004, the Company increased its estimated undiscounted asset retirement cost for its Beaver River field from \$0.9 million to \$1.8 million. The \$0.9 million increase was added to the asset retirement obligation and also capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related asset.

Actual costs incurred upon settlement of the obligation are charged against the liability to the extent the liability is recorded. Any difference between actual costs incurred upon settlement of the asset retirement obligation and the recorded liability is recognized as a gain or loss in the Company's earnings in the period in which settlement occurs.

Determination of the original undiscounted retirement obligations and timing of these obligations are based on internal estimates using current costs and technology in accordance with existing legislation and industry practice. These estimates are subject to change over time and, as such, may impact the charge against income for asset retirement obligations.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following new and amended standards were implemented by the Company in 2004 with the following impact on the 2003 financial statements where retroactive restatement was required.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

In January 2004, the Company adopted the amended CICA Handbook Section 3870 "Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments". This change in accounting policy has been applied retroactively without restatement of prior periods presented.

Under this amended standard, the Company must account for compensation expense based on the fair value of rights granted under its stock-based compensation plan. Compensation cost attributable to share options granted to employees or directors is measured at fair value at the grant date and expensed over the expected exercise time frame with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. Upon exercise of the stock options, consideration paid by the unit holder together with the amount previously recognized in contributed surplus is recorded as an increase to share capital.

As a result of adopting this change in accounting policy, the consolidated financial statements at January 1, 2004 were changed as follows: contributed surplus increased by \$70,527 and the opening deficit was increased by \$70,527.

ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

In January 2004, the Company adopted CICA Handbook Section 3110 "Asset Retirement Obligations". This change in accounting policy has been applied retroactively with restatement of prior periods presented.

Utilizing the new accounting policy, the Company recognizes asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be determined. The liability is recorded at fair value and is adjusted to its present value in subsequent periods as accretion expense is recorded. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related asset. The capitalized amount is depleted on a unit of production basis in accordance with the Company's depletion policies. Revisions to the estimated timing of cash flows or to the original estimated undiscounted cost would also result in an increase or decrease to the asset retirement obligation. Actual costs incurred upon settlement of the obligation are charged against the liability to the extent the liability is recorded. Any difference between actual costs incurred upon settlement of the asset retirement obligation and the recorded liability is recognized as a gain or loss in the Company's earnings in the period in which settlement occurs.

As a result of adopting this change in accounting policy, the previously reported amounts for 2003 have been restated due to the retroactive application of this new standard. Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 decreased by \$11,931. The asset retirement obligation at December 31, 2003 decreased by \$30,699 to \$848,134, property, plant and equipment increased by \$20,599, net of accumulated depletion. Opening 2003 accumulated deficit increased by \$408,202 to reflect the cumulative impact of accretion and depletion expense, less the previously recorded cumulative site restoration provision. There was no impact on the Company's cash flow as a result of adopting this new policy.

FULL COST ACCOUNTING GUIDELINE

In January 2004, the Company prospectively adopted the new Accounting Guideline 16 "Oil and Gas Accounting – Full Cost" issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA").

Consistent with the former guideline, the net carrying cost of the Company's petroleum and natural gas properties is limited to an estimated net recoverable amount ("ceiling test"). Under the new guideline, the ceiling test calculation is a two step process. First, the Company determines whether a write-down is required by comparing the carrying value of the properties to the undiscounted cash flow of its proved reserves (based on management's best estimate of future prices) plus the lower of cost and market value for unproved properties. If there is an impairment calculated under the first step, the carrying value of properties is written down to the discounted value of the proved plus probable reserves (based on management's best estimate of future prices) plus the lower of cost and market of unproved properties.

The Company recorded a \$5.2 million ceiling test write-down for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 related to the Beaver River Field. This amount was calculated in accordance with the new accounting guideline utilizing an internal estimate of reserves and cash flows. The write-down is included in the depletion and depreciation provision on the income statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to Questerre, including the Company's Annual Information Form can be obtained on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or on the Company's website at www.questerre.com.

NOTICE TO READER

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Questerre Energy Corporation for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 have been prepared by management and approved by the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors of the Corporation. These statements have not been reviewed by the Corporation's external auditors.



Michael Binnion
President and Chief Executive Officer



David Mallory, CA
Interim Chief Financial Officer

Calgary, Alberta
November 11, 2004

Questerre Energy Corporation

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30 2004	December 31 2003
	<i>(unaudited)</i>	<i>(as restated – note 2)</i>
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash	\$ 370,888	\$ 1,678,611
Accounts receivable	104,050	623,544
Inventory	193,083	680,036
Restricted cash (note 4)	100,000	100,000
Deposits and cash calls paid	892,264	–
	1,660,285	3,082,191
Petroleum and natural gas properties – net of accumulated depletion and depreciation (note 3)	6,238,886	5,158,646
	\$ 7,899,171	\$ 8,240,837
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 574,837	\$ 7,225,137
Bank loan (note 4)	254,600	314,900
Promissory note payable (note 5)	600,000	–
	1,429,437	7,540,037
Asset retirement obligation (note 2,6)	1,817,750	848,134
	3,247,187	8,388,171
Shareholders' Equity		
Common shares (note 7)	29,729,504	25,308,340
Contributed surplus (note 7)	183,428	–
Deficit	(25,260,948)	(25,455,674)
	4,651,984	(147,334)
	\$ 7,899,171	\$ 8,240,837

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Approved by the Board of Directors


Director


Director

Questerre Energy Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Deficit

(unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
	<i>(as restated – note 2)</i>		<i>(as restated – note 2)</i>	
Revenue				
Petroleum and natural gas, net of royalties	\$ 286,365	\$ 124,850	\$ 1,254,520	\$ 1,106,481
Interest income	5,897	11,349	17,606	18,088
	292,262	136,199	1,272,126	1,124,569
Expenses				
Operating	348,576	242,421	1,297,743	981,670
General and administrative	192,231	236,499	411,567	577,716
Restructuring costs	285,699	–	572,350	–
Stock-based compensation (note 2)	18,503	–	112,901	–
Interest expense	18,019	13,186	49,086	38,923
Gain on settlement of obligations	(7,356,552)	–	(7,648,510)	–
Depletion and depreciation	56,672	182,561	6,151,299	534,949
Accretion on asset retirement obligation (note 2 and 6)	30,753	13,872	60,437	41,616
	(6,406,099)	688,539	1,006,873	2,174,874
Net income (loss)	6,698,361	(532,340)	265,253	(1,050,305)
Deficit, beginning of period	(31,959,309)	(9,248,514)	(25,455,674)	(8,322,347)
Restatement for changes in accounting policies				
Stock-based compensation (note 2)	–	–	(70,527)	–
Asset retirement obligation (note 2)	–	–	–	(408,202)
Deficit, end of period	\$ (25,260,948)	\$ (9,780,854)	\$ (25,260,948)	\$ (9,780,854)
Net income (loss) per share				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.04)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Questerre Energy Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
	<i>(as restated – note 2)</i>		<i>(as restated – note 2)</i>	
Cash provided by (used in):				
Operating Activities				
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,698,361	\$ (532,340)	\$ 265,253	\$ (1,050,305)
Items not affecting cash				
Depletion and depreciation	56,672	182,561	6,151,299	534,949
Accretion on asset retirement obligation	30,753	13,872	60,437	41,616
Gain on settlement of obligations	(7,356,552)	–	(7,648,510)	–
Stock-based compensation expense	18,503	–	112,901	–
	(552,263)	(355,907)	(1,058,620)	(473,740)
Deferral of crown royalties	–	14,326	–	152,388
Net change in non-cash working capital	140,200	(380,368)	217,260	(124,895)
	(412,063)	(721,949)	(841,360)	(446,247)
Financing Activities				
Issue of common shares	1,443,454	3,544,680	2,189,023	3,544,681
Issue of flow-through shares	–	4,137,700	2,442,428	4,137,700
Share issue costs	–	(974,721)	(210,288)	(1,048,335)
Proceeds of promissory note	100,000	–	600,000	–
Repayment of bank loan	(20,100)	–	(60,300)	(33,500)
Net change in non-cash working capital	(1,443,454)	–	(1,443,454)	–
	79,900	6,707,659	3,517,409	6,600,546
Investing Activities				
Expenditures on petroleum and natural gas properties	(67,943)	(4,258,665)	(6,322,361)	(4,342,829)
Net change in non-cash working capital	(440,988)	1,459,869	2,338,589	1,290,498
	(508,931)	(2,798,796)	(3,983,772)	(3,052,331)
Increase (decrease) in cash	(841,094)	3,186,914	(1,307,723)	3,101,968
Cash, beginning of period	1,211,982	470,058	1,678,611	555,004
Cash, end of period	\$ 370,888	\$ 3,656,972	\$ 370,888	\$ 3,656,972

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

During the three months ended September 30, 2004, the Company paid \$18,019 (2003 – \$13,186) of cash interest and \$Nil in cash taxes (2003 – \$Nil). During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the Company paid \$49,086 (2003 – \$38,923) of cash interest and \$Nil in cash taxes (2003 – \$Nil).

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

The interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Questerre Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries ("Questerre" or the "Company") and have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have not been subject to review. The interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared following the same accounting policies and methods of computation as the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, except as noted below. The disclosure, which follows, is incremental to the disclosure included with the annual consolidated financial statements. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in the Company's annual report for the year ended December 31, 2003.

1. CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING

On April 1, 2004, Questerre Beaver River Inc. ("QBR"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Questerre ("QEC") was granted an Order by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta providing for creditor protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("CCAA"). On June 22, 2004, QEC also applied for and was granted an Order by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta providing for creditor protection under CCAA.

QBR's financial difficulty was the result of significant problems and cost overruns associated with a re-entry operation undertaken at the Beaver River natural gas field (the "Beaver River Field") which is located approximately 100 miles northwest of Fort Nelson, British Columbia. QBR holds a 60% working interest and a 100% priority right to cash flow (subject to payouts) in the Beaver River Field. QBR held a 100% working interest in the operation as a result of joint venture partners choosing to forego participation under penalty. The CCAA filing by QEC was necessary due to claims advanced or threatened by certain parties that provided goods and services in connection with the re-entry operation and was intended to allow the Company to restructure its affairs while continuing operations on a normalized basis.

On August 9, 2004, the Company filed Plans of Compromise or Arrangement (the "Plans") under the CCAA for the settlement of all outstanding claims. Pursuant to the Plans proposed by QEC and QBR, unsecured creditors would receive either the lesser of the amount of their claim or \$2,000. Alternatively, unsecured creditors could elect to receive either a cash dividend of \$0.05 plus one Common Share of QEC for each dollar of their claims. The Common Shares of QEC would be subject to a contractual escrow and released in two equal instalments on the four and eight month anniversary of the date the Plans received final Court approval.

In addition to the proposed settlement, QEC arranged for a liquidity option. Under this liquidity option, unsecured creditors would still receive \$0.05 for each dollar of their claims, and would also receive an additional \$0.07 for each dollar of their claim in exchange for foregoing each Common Share of QEC they would have otherwise received. This would result in a cash settlement of \$0.12 per dollar of claim outstanding.

The Common Shares that would otherwise have been issued to these unsecured creditors would be issued to Terrenex Acquisition Corporation ("Terrenex"), a related party, pursuant to the terms of the Liquidity Option Agreement (See Note 9). In consideration, Terrenex would establish a fund to finance the liquidity option up to a maximum of \$668,500.

The Plans were approved by the requisite majority of unsecured creditors at meetings of creditors held on August 31, 2004 and sanctioned by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta on September 9, 2004. A total of \$0.56 million in cash and 9,623,012 Common Shares of QEC were issued on the implementation of these plans. QEC and QBR subsequently emerged from Court protection on October 8, 2004.

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

2. CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Full cost accounting guideline

In January 2004, the Company prospectively adopted the new Accounting Guideline 16 "Oil and Gas Accounting – Full Cost" issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA").

Consistent with the former guideline, the net carrying cost of the Company's petroleum and natural gas properties is limited to an estimated net recoverable amount ("ceiling test"). Under the new guideline, the ceiling test calculation is a two step process. First, the Company determines whether a write-down is required by comparing the carrying value of the properties to the undiscounted cash flow of its proved reserves (based on management's best estimate of future prices) plus the lower of cost and market value for unproved properties. If there is an impairment calculated under the first step, the carrying value of properties is written down to the discounted value of the proved plus probable reserves (based on management's best estimate of future prices) plus the lower of cost and market of unproved properties.

The Company recorded a \$5.2 million ceiling test writedown for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 related to the Beaver River Project (see note 1). This amount was calculated in accordance with the new accounting guideline utilizing an internal estimate of reserves and cash flows. The writedown is included in the depletion and depreciation provision on the income statement.

b) Asset retirement obligation

In January 2004, the Company adopted CICA Handbook Section 3110 "Asset Retirement Obligations". This change in accounting policy has been applied retroactively with restatement of prior periods presented.

Utilizing the new accounting policy, the Company recognizes asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be determined. The liability is recorded at fair value and is adjusted to its present value in subsequent periods as accretion expense is recorded. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related asset. The capitalized amount is depleted on a unit of production basis in accordance with the Company's depletion policies. Revisions to the estimated timing of cash flows or to the original estimated undiscounted cost would also result in an increase or decrease to the asset retirement obligation. Actual costs incurred upon settlement of the obligation are charged against the liability to the extent the liability is recorded. Any difference between actual costs incurred upon settlement of the asset retirement obligation and the recorded liability is recognized as a gain or loss in the Company's earnings in the period in which settlement occurs.

The previously reported amounts for 2003 have been restated due to the retroactive application of this new standard. Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 decreased by \$11,931. The asset retirement obligation at December 31, 2003 decreased by \$30,699 to \$848,134, property, plant and equipment increased by \$20,599, net of accumulated depletion. Opening 2003 accumulated deficit increased by \$408,202 to reflect the cumulative impact of accretion and depletion expense, less the previously recorded cumulative site restoration provision. Net income for 2004 included accretion expense of \$30,753 for the three months and \$60,437 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. There was no impact on the Company's cash flow as a result of adopting this new policy.

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

c) Stock based compensation plan

In January 2004, the Company adopted the amended CICA Handbook Section 3870 "Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments". This change in accounting policy has been applied retroactively without restatement of prior periods presented. Under this amended standard, the Company must account for compensation expense based on the fair value of rights granted under its stock-based compensation plan (see note 7(e)). Compensation cost attributable to share options granted to employees or directors is measured at fair value at the grant date and expensed over the expected exercise time frame with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. Upon exercise of the stock options, consideration paid by the unit holder together with the amount previously recognized in contributed surplus is recorded as an increase to share capital.

As a result of adopting this change in accounting policy, the consolidated financial statements at January 1, 2004 were changed as follows: contributed surplus increased by \$70,527 and the opening deficit was increased by \$70,527. Net income for 2004 included stock-based compensation expense of \$18,503 for the three months and \$112,901 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

3. CAPITAL ASSETS

	September 2004	December 31 2003
		<i>(as restated - note 2)</i>
Petroleum and natural gas properties	\$ 39,883,624	\$ 32,652,083
Less: Accumulated depletion and depreciation	(33,644,738)	(27,493,437)
	\$ 6,238,886	\$ 5,158,646

During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the company capitalized administrative overhead charges of \$326,619 (year ended December 31, 2003 – \$594,911) relating to exploration and development activities.

The company incurred a ceiling test writedown of \$5.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 (December 31, 2003 – \$16.7 million) related to the Beaver River Field (see note 1). This amount is included in the depletion and depreciation provision on the income statement.

At September 30, 2004, petroleum and natural gas properties included \$4.6 million (December 31, 2003 – \$4.3 million) relating to unproved properties which have been excluded from the depletion and depreciation calculation. All costs associated with the Beaver River Field, net of estimated salvage values, have been written down and included in depletion expense except \$2.8 million of geological and geophysical costs. Questerre does not include costs of \$1.8 million associated with its St. Lawrence Lowlands, Quebec prospect in the calculation of depletion or in costs subject to the ceiling test calculation. The Quebec property remains undeveloped at September 30, 2004.

4. BANK LOAN

In July 2002, the Company obtained a five year, \$400,000 term loan with a Canadian bank. Under the terms of the loan, the Company must make monthly payments of \$6,700 principal plus interest until the loan is paid out. The interest rate under the loan is the bank's floating base rate plus 2.0% and the Company may lock in the rate at any time. The loan is secured by the first assignment to the bank of a \$100,000 Guaranteed Investment Certificate and by a General Security Agreement over the assets of the Company and its subsidiary, Questerre Beaver River Inc. Financial covenants include maintaining a minimum long term debt to tangible equity ratio of 0.8 to 1.0 and maintaining a working capital ratio of at least 1.0 to 1.0. The Company is not in compliance with the financial covenants and, therefore, the bank loan has been classified as a current liability.

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

5. PROMISSORY NOTE PAYABLE

In June 2004, the Company obtained \$0.5 million on the issue of a \$0.5 million promissory note to Rupert's Crossing, an Investment Corporation ("Rupert's"). Rupert's is a private investment holding company controlled by the President and Chief Executive Officer of Questerre. The promissory note is due on November 14, 2004 with interest calculated at 12% per annum, payable monthly (see note 10). The promissory note is secured by a General Security Agreement over the assets of the Company and the hypothecation of the Company's exploration licenses in Quebec.

In August 2004, the Company amended its \$0.5 million financing agreement with Rupert's when Rupert's advanced an additional \$0.1 million which was placed in trust with the Company's legal counsel. Upon the approval of the Plans by the unsecured creditors, these funds were advanced to the Company on the same terms as the original \$0.5 million. The funds were used to finance a portion of the cash component of the Plans.

6. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

The total future asset retirement obligation was estimated by management based on Questerre's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon the wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future periods. The Company estimates the net present value of its total asset retirement obligations to be \$1,817,750 at September 30, 2004 based on a total future liability of \$1,848,500. The liability related to the Beaver River Field has been included as a current year liability. Questerre used a credit adjusted risk free rate of seven per cent and an inflation rate of 2 per cent to calculate the present value of the asset retirement obligation.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the Company's total asset retirement obligation:

	September 30 2004	December 31 2003
		<i>(as restated - note 2)</i>
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 848,134	\$ 353,548
Adoption of asset retirement obligation	-	439,098
Increase in estimate of obligation	909,179	
Accretion expense	60,437	55,488
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,817,750	\$ 848,134

7. SHARE CAPITAL

a) Authorized

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Class A common voting shares. The Company is also authorized to issue an unlimited number of Class B common voting shares and an unlimited number of preferred shares, issuable in one or more series. At September 30, 2004, there were no Class B common voting shares or preferred shares outstanding.

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

b) Issued and outstanding

Class A common shares and common share warrants

	Number	Amount
Common Shares		
Balance, December 31, 2003	42,112,246	\$ 25,279,580
Issued for cash	642,860	713,575
Flow through shares issued for cash	1,878,791	2,442,428
Issued for cash on exercise of warrants	31,995	32,155
Issued under Plans	9,623,012	1,443,454
Share issue costs	-	(210,288)
Balance, September 30, 2004	54,288,904	\$ 29,700,904

	Number	Amount
Warrants		
Balance, December 31, 2003	8,232,256	\$ 28,760
Exercised for common shares	(31,995)	(160)
Expired	(2,861,931)	-
Balance, September 30, 2004	5,338,330	\$ 28,600
Total Common Share Capital		\$ 29,729,504

The future tax liability associated with the renunciation of tax expenditures under the flow through shares was offset by existing losses and tax deductions not previously recognized.

c) Per share Amounts

The following table summarizes the weighted average common shares used in calculating net income (loss) per common share:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30		For the Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
Basic	45,576,112	33,268,062	44,597,493	29,137,534
Diluted	46,097,851	33,268,062	44,743,877	29,137,534

The reconciling item between the basic and diluted average common shares is stock options.

d) Stock options

The Company has a stock option plan that provides for the issuance of options to its directors, officers and employees at or above market prices. The stock options granted under the plan vest evenly over a three year period and expire five years from the date of grant.

Questerre Energy Corporation Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the stock option plan activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2004:

	Number of Options	Weighted Avg Exercise Price
Outstanding, December 31, 2003	3,643,500	\$ 1.28
Granted – First Quarter	313,000	1.41
Granted – Third Quarter	2,315,000	0.10
Cancelled	(3,956,500)	1.29
Outstanding, September 30, 2004	2,315,000	\$ 0.10
Exercisable, September 30, 2004	0	\$ 0.00

The outstanding options have an exercise price of \$0.10 per share, and 5 years to expiry. Pursuant to the successful completion of the corporate restructuring during the third quarter, the Company cancelled 3,956,500 outstanding stock options.

e) Stock-based compensation costs

The Company accounts for its stock based compensation plan using the fair value method. Under this method, compensation cost attributable to share options granted to employees or directors is measured at fair value at the grant date and expensed over the expected exercise time frame with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus.

The fair value of each option granted is estimated in the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with weighted average assumptions for grants as follows:

Risk free interest rate (%)	3.0
Expected life (years)	3.0
Expected volatility (%)	161.0

f) Contributed surplus

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of contributed surplus for the nine months ended September 30, 2004:

Balance, December 31, 2003	\$ –
Adoption of stock based compensation (note 2)	70,527
Stock based compensation expense	112,901
Balance, September 30, 2004	\$ 183,428

Questerre Energy Corporation

Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 (unaudited)

8. FUTURE INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes in the financial statements differs from the result, which would have been obtained in applying the combined federal and provincial tax rate to the Company's earnings before income taxes. The difference results from the following items:

	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2004	2003
Gain (loss) before income taxes	\$ 265,253	\$ (1,050,305)
Combined federal and provincial tax rate	35.16%	42.24%
Computed "expected" income tax recovery	93,263	(443,649)
Increase in income taxes resulting from:		
Non-deductible crown charges and recoveries	6,694	70,700
Unrecognized tax benefit of accounting losses	86,569	372,949
	\$ -	\$ -

The components of the Company's future income tax liability are as follows:

	September 30 2004	December 31 2003
Future income tax assets:		
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 4,206,026	\$ 5,325,981
Asset retirement obligation	477,193	234,489
Share issue expenses	293,051	293,051
Non-capital loss carryforwards (expire 2007 - 2011)	724,986	1,199,865
Valuation adjustment	(5,701,256)	(6,707,186)
	-	346,200
Future income tax liabilities:		
Flow through share renouncements to be incurred	-	(346,200)
	-	(346,200)
Net future income tax liability	\$ -	\$ -

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At September 30, 2004, Questerre had amounts owing to Terrenex totaling \$723 (December 31, 2003 - \$1,237). All of the directors of Terrenex serve as directors or officers of Questerre. Questerre incurred fees of \$94,500 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 (2003 - \$94,500) to a company with common directors and officers. The transactions were in the normal course of business paid pursuant to an Office Rental Agreement for the provision of office space, office equipment and support personnel. The agreement may be terminated by either party with six months' written notice.

In August 2004, the Company amended its \$0.5 million financing agreement with Rupert's when Rupert's advanced an additional \$0.1 million which was placed in trust with the Company's legal counsel. Upon the approval of the Plans by the unsecured creditors, these funds were advanced to the Company on the same terms as the original \$0.5 million. The funds were used to finance a portion of the cash component of the Plans.

In August 2004, the Company entered into a Liquidity Option Agreement with Terrenex to facilitate acceptance of the Plans by the unsecured creditors of QBR and QEC. Pursuant to the Liquidity Option Agreement, Terrenex funded a payment of \$0.07 per Common Share to those unsecured creditors that did not wish to hold the QEC Common Shares received under the Plans (the "Liquidity Option"). In consideration for this payment, Terrenex was issued that number of Common Shares of QEC that, but for their election to participate in the Liquidity Option, would have been issued to the unsecured creditors. The Common Shares issued to Terrenex are subject to the same contractual hold period were they issued to these unsecured creditors. For fulfilling its obligations under the Liquidity Option Agreement, Terrenex was granted 300,000 Common Shares of QEC.

On the acceptance of the Plans on August 31, 2004, unsecured creditors of QBR and QEC with claims totaling \$6.7 million elected to participate in the Liquidity Option. Terrenex funded a payment of \$0.47 million to these unsecured creditors and was issued 6,756,102 common shares of QEC.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In October 2004, the Company further amended the terms of the \$0.5 million financing agreement with Rupert's when Rupert's advanced an additional \$0.08 million to the Company for general working capital. This advance was made on the same terms as the original advance of \$0.5 million.

In November 2004, the Company and Rupert's amended the terms of the financing agreement extending the due date of the promissory note from November 14, 2004 to November 14, 2006 and reducing the interest rate from 12% per annum to 8% per annum. The Company has been granted the right to prepay the entire amount or any portion thereof with 30 days' notice in writing to Rupert's. Rupert's has been granted the option, subject to regulatory approval, to convert the entire amount into Common Shares of the Company at a conversion rate of \$0.30 per Common Share. As at November 11, 2004, a total of \$0.68 million is due to Rupert's under this financing agreement.

Corporate Information

DIRECTORS

Les Beddoes, Jr.

Michael Binnion

Russ Hammond

Tom Landry, Jr.

David Mallory

Peder Paus

Jed Wood

OFFICERS

Michael Binnion
President and Chief
Executive Officer

John Brodylo
VP Exploration

Gordon Love
VP Engineering

David Mallory
Interim Chief Financial Officer

Maria Rees
Corporate Secretary
and Controller

BANKERS

Royal Bank of Canada
335 Eighth Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 1C9

LEGAL COUNSEL

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
1000, 400 Third Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 4H2

TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare Trust
Company of Canada
600, 530 Eighth Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 3S8

Den Norske Bank ASA
Stranden 1, Aker Brygge
N0021 Oslo, Norway

AUDITORS

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
3100, 111 5th Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 5L3

INDEPENDENT

RESERVOIR ENGINEERS

McDaniel & Associates
Consultants Ltd.
2200, 255 5th Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 3G6

HEAD OFFICE

1580 Guinness House
727 Seventh Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 0Z5
Telephone: (403) 777-1185
Facsimile: (403) 777-1578
Web: www.questerre.com
Email: info@questerre.com

STOCK INFORMATION

Toronto Stock Exchange
Common Shares: QEC
Warrants: QEC.WT

